

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,543	06/28/2001	Takaharu Kawahara	210349US0	5132
22850	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			REDDICK, MARIE L	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDE	STREET UA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1713	(h)
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/892,543	KAWAHARA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Judy M. Reddick	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r she t with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 1899 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicable the same of this applicable the same of this application and the same of the same of the same of the same of this application and the same of the	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	lling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: NONE					
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .	•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19.	•	•			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other:		Judy M. Redduck Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713			

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 009/892,543

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth in the Grounds of Rejection of record per paper no. 13, 05.02.03, paragraph nos. 5 & 9.

Continuation of 10. Other: Counsel, in essence, argues that Blumberg et al fail to disclose or suggest that an oxygen concentration in said alcohol-based solvent is not more than 60 ppm when said alcohol-based solvent is used in recovering said unreacted vinyl acetate. To this end, there is nothing viable on this record showing that the nitrogen purged stream of monomer/alcohol solution used in the recovery process of Blumberg et al does not possess the claimed oxygen concentration. Blumberg et al clearly states @ col.4, lines 68-69 that it is most preferred that the oxygen content of the purged stream will not exceed 10 ppm. Counsel is reminded that the claims, in their present form, simply require that the alcohol-based solvent be deoxidized in advance.